

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Bennett, Neil Marie ECON 122A LEC A (62220), Winter Qtr 2018

Responses: 107/287 (37.28%)

**ATTENDANCE**

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 6 Never
- 1 Once
- 4 2 - 3 times
- 5 4 - 5 times
- 14 6 - 7 times
- 17 8 times
- 52 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 46 Never
- 14 Once
- 11 2 - 3 times
- 5 4 - 5 times
- 5 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 15 More than 8 times

**PRESENTATION**

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 10 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 2 1 (Among Worst)
- 3 2
- 2 3
- 14 4 (OK or Average)
- 11 5
- 26 6
- 33 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 10 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 2 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 2 3
- 15 4 (OK or Average)
- 7 5
- 24 6
- 40 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 10 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 2 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 4 3
- 12 4 (OK or Average)
- 10 5
- 21 6
- 42 7 (Among Best)

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Bennett, Neil Marie ECON 122A LEC A (62220), Winter Qtr 2018

---

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

11 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
2 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
2 3  
16 4 (OK or Average)  
10 5  
23 6  
37 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

12 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
2 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
2 3  
13 4 (OK or Average)  
11 5  
20 6  
41 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

10 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
2 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
4 3  
16 4 (OK or Average)  
11 5  
23 6  
35 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

10 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
2 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
2 3  
15 4 (OK or Average)  
12 5  
24 6  
35 7 (Among Best)

## EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

1 Poor  
1 Inadequate  
1 Less than adequate  
18 Adequate  
22 More than adequate  
22 Very good  
32 Excellent

## COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Bennett, Neil Marie ECON 122A LEC A (62220), Winter Qtr 2018

---

- AAAAAAAAA
  - clear and helpful
  - clear and patient explaining
  - clear presentation
  - Course materials are easy to understand and very good
  - didn't attend this discussion
  - Did not have this TA
  - good explanation to problems, effective teaching methods by TA
  - Good ta
  - Hands down the BEST TA I've ever had. She is always there to help in office hours to break down things for me to understand and even continues on to help me in her ELC hours afterwards. She is very kind and everything is so clear after she helps me. Would no do well in this class without her.
  - Lab
  - N/A
  - N/A Did not have this specific TA
  - NA
  - Neil reviewed the material thoroughly and efficiently, she is also really helpful when we ask for help on homeworks
  - no
  - no comment
  - Not my TA.
  - patient
  - providing lectures
  - really really good TA!
  - She clearly outlines lecture materials and walks us through lab sessions.
  - she could help us comb the knowledge we learn from the lecture.
  - She explained to us what the question is asking for, and then gave us the ways of solving this problem. The solution she gave is logical and well-formed.
  - She is really friendly and helpful
  - She is very clear in her explanations and is always willing to help students better understand course material.
  - She presented information clearly.
  - She was always super helpful and answered all of our questions. She gave us time to reflect and really help me understand the material.
  - She was so helpful with the homeworks and created an environment where students could ask questions without fear. She is so easy going and good natured and I would recommend her to my friends if given the opportunity for her to be their TA.
  - She was very good at explaining concepts
  - She went over the most important concepts and was able to make it more clearly to understand. I was able to learn the basics of using eviews. It was also great that she discussed tougher homework problems even before it was due.
  - teaching attitude
  - The TA was very helpful
  - This wasn't my TA
  - very clear, she cares about us students
  - 72 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Bennett, Neil Marie ECON 122A LEC A (62220), Winter Qtr 2018

---

- Actually I was hoping to learn more about Eviews. However, the lab seems only covered a little
- asdfasdfasdasdfasdf
- fasten the pace. some simple steps can be discussed faster, so we can talk more materials in the section.
- give more information about the text
- good enough
- N/A
- N/A
- n/a.
- N/A Did not have this specific TA
- NA
- no
- no
- no
- no
- no comment
- none
- none
- No recommendations
- No shes great!!
- Nothing
- nothing. It is perfect
- Perhaps come to class a little more prepared as sometimes students would ask questions she could not confidently answer.
- perhaps cover more topics that we went over in class and review the tougher subjects
- smile more
- SST 155 is a horrible classroom
- the labs were pointless, she doesn't know some of the knowledge beyond this class
- This wasn't my TA
- 80 blank answer(s).