Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Bennett, Neil Marie ECON 122B LEC A (62150), Spring Qtr 2019

Responses: 53/221 (23.98%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

4	Never
0	Once
4	2 - 3 times
12	4 - 5 times
11	6 - 7 times
8	8 times
11	More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

28	Never
5	Once
6	2 - 3 times
2	4 - 5 times
2	6 - 7 times
4	8 times
3	More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

4	0 (N/A or Unsure)
1	1 (Among Worst)
0	2
1	3
6	4 (OK or Average)
8	5
14	6
17	7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

3	0 (N/A or Unsure)
1	1 (Among Worst)
0	2
2	3
6	4 (OK or Average)
8	5
14	6
17	7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

4	0 (N/A or Unsure)
0	1 (Among Worst)
1	2
1	3
5	4 (OK or Average)
7	5
12	6
20	7 (Among Best)

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:
   - **4** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **1** 1 (Among Worst)
   - **0** 2
   - **2** 3
   - **6** 4 (OK or Average)
   - **6** 5
   - **13** 6
   - **19** 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:
   - **3** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **0** 1 (Among Worst)
   - **0** 2
   - **2** 3
   - **6** 4 (OK or Average)
   - **5** 5
   - **11** 6
   - **24** 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:
   - **4** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **1** 1 (Among Worst)
   - **0** 2
   - **2** 3
   - **8** 4 (OK or Average)
   - **6** 5
   - **14** 6
   - **16** 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:
   - **3** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
   - **1** 1 (Among Worst)
   - **0** 2
   - **1** 3
   - **5** 4 (OK or Average)
   - **8** 5
   - **15** 6
   - **17** 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:
    - **1** Poor
    - **0** Inadequate
    - **1** Less than adequate
    - **6** Adequate
    - **12** More than adequate
    - **19** Very good
    - **12** Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

    1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- good
- He can explain the points which we did not get through from class.
- N/A
- Neil is very knowledgeable on the course content. She goes very slow during discussion, but it is better than going very fast. Very much appreciated all her help in teaching the students how to use R studio.
- Notes are clear
- She can explain the concepts very clearly and is responsive to the students.
- She never puts ppl down if they get a wrong answer. Always stops to help people individually if they cant figure out something on R studio.
- she went over problems in book
- The strongest point of the TA is she speaks very clearly.
- 44 blank answer(s).

2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?

- /
- good
- I would say that maybe Neil underestimated what the student's capabilities are, which isn't necessarily a bad thing. However, sometimes we go over very basic concepts/examples for 10-15 minutes, when could be going over something a bit more challenging. Perhaps asking the students beforehand if we want to cover something specifically would help?
- learn from sarah shes a good ta. Discussion sections with bennett felt like a waste of time and did not help at all. Also know the hw so when a student asks you can help.
- N/A
- The TA should spend more time on questions that are similar to the homework.
- 47 blank answer(s).