

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Bennett, Neil Marie ECON 20A DIS A8 (62048), Fall Qtr 2015

Responses: 16/47 (34.04%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - 1 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 4 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 11 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 7 Never
 - 2 Once
 - 2 2 - 3 times
 - 2 4 - 5 times
 - 2 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 3 5
 - 7 6
 - 5 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 4 5
 - 6 6
 - 5 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 3 5
 - 8 6
 - 4 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Bennett, Neil Marie ECON 20A DIS A8 (62048), Fall Qtr 2015

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 5 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 7 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 6 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 6 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 3 Adequate
- 4 More than adequate
- 5 Very good
- 4 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Bennett, Neil Marie ECON 20A DIS A8 (62048), Fall Qtr 2015

- Articulating the material in a clear and concise manner. Easy to understand.
 - Clearly explains
 - Clearly logical teaching in discussion course.
 - Did a much better job than the professor in teaching the material
 - her notes are clear and helpful
 - Patient and responsible.
 - She gave out helpful worksheets during discussion sections.
 - She was very organized in her discussion sessions, and presented us with information she knew was the hardest to grasp. She was also very available over email and in her office hours to give us help.
 - She would answer questions when students needed help and also helped students by making us practice on old exams during the discussion section.
 - The TA is willing to answer anyone's questions even if she isn't able to answer right away, she will email them personally.
 - 6 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- I believe that she has lots of knowledge on the subject, just that sometimes she needs to understand material a little better and should try to give more examples of the things we are learning.
 - if she can answer the question students ask in the discussion will be better
 - It would be nice if you explain concepts that are difficult to understand using metaphors or something that students can relate to better.
 - Maybe write less of what we already know on the board (such as definitions of words) and go more over application practice problems
 - No
 - None at all
 - Write larger because sometimes I wouldn't be able to read the text from the front.
 - You are good enough.
 - 8 blank answer(s).